

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering ISO 3297:2007 Certified Vol. 5, Issue 11, November 2016

DOA Estimation using MOD MUSIC Method and Calculation of Signal and Noise Power

Bhawna Singh¹, Pratyush Tripathi²

M.Tech Student, Dept. of ECE, Kanpur Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India¹

Assistant Professor, Dept. of ECE, Kanpur Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India²

Abstract: The DOA estimation algorithms are designed based on the ideal assumption where the antenna arrays are free from imperfect conditions. But in practical situation, ideal conditions are extremely difficult to obtain and thus due to imperfect conditions, the performance of DOA estimation will be severely degraded. Results of classical methods like MUSIC or Beamforming for direction of arrival estimation degraded when sources are close or coherent or having low SNR. Based on the investigation to classical DOA algorithm (MUSIC and Improved MUSIC), a mod-MUSIC estimation algorithm is proposed which makes full use of signal subspace and noise subspace characteristics. It has good performance in imperfect condition and compare this algorithm with classical algorithms in different conditions.

Keywords: DOA estimation; Array signal processing; Eigen space; Eigen vector

1. INTRODUCTION

signal processing, and extensively applied in the field of decomposition is radar, sonar, communication, astronautics, aeronautics, etc. In lots of DOA estimation algorithms with excellent performance, the most classic one is the multiple signal classification (MUSIC) based on eigen value decomposition. It can search for the unidimentional peak value of spectrum to get the direction of information source. The operational measurement of MUSIC is much less than that of maximum likelihood method (ML), weighted subspace fitting method (WSF) and other tridimensional search methods. Based on MUSIC, weighted MUSIC and ameliorative MUSIC are developed in the condition of coherent sources. We will propose a MOD MUSIC DOA estimation algorithm based on the eigen space in the text which makes full use of the characteristic of signal subspace and noise subspace. Its performance is better than that of MUSIC in the condition of incoherent sources and ameliorative MUSIC in the condition of coherent sources, and the algorithm still show a good performance in the condition of small snapshot and low SNR so it is predominant and robust.

2. MUSIC AND IMPROVED MUSIC

2.1 MUSIC

Suppose that there are K sources, the receiving signal of M elements uniform linear antenna array is given by

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} a(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) \mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{n} \tag{1}$$

where s. is the transmitting signal of source i; (θ_i) is the DOA of the ith source. $a(\theta_i)$ is the normalized direction vector; n is white noise whose mean is 0 and variance is $\sigma^2 I$. The direction matrix A =[$a(\theta_1)$, $a(\theta_2), \ldots, a(\theta_K)$]

DOA estimation is one of the important contents of array matrix of the array signal is $R = E[xx^{H}]$. The eigen-

$$R = U\Lambda U^{\rm H} = \sum_{i=1}^{\rm M} \lambda_i u_i u_i^{\rm H}$$
 (2)

where $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_{2,...,n}, \lambda_M)$ and it's eigen -values are complied with this sequence:

 $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \dots > \lambda_{K+1} = \dots + \lambda_M = \sigma^2$

That is the first K eigenvalues are in connection with the signal and their numeric value are all more than σ^2 . The eigen vectors corresponding with the k larger eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_K$ are u_1, u_2, \dots, u_K . Λ_s is the diagonal matrix composed of the k larger eigenvalues.

The later M-P eigenvalues are totally depended on the noise and their numeric value $are\sigma_n^2$. The eigen vectors corresponding with $\lambda_{K+1}, \lambda_{K+2}, \dots, \lambda_M$ are u_{K+1} , u_{K+2},\ldots,u_M and they construct noise subspace U_N = $[u_{K+1}, u_{K+2}, \dots, u_M]$. Λ_N is the diagonal matrix composed of the M-P smaller eigenvalues. So R could be divided into

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{S}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathrm{H}} + \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{N}} \Lambda_{\mathrm{N}} \mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{H}} \tag{3}$$

According to each column vector is orthogonal to noise subspace: $U_N^H a(\theta_i) = 0$ i= 1,2,.....K, the spatial frequency spectrum of MUSIC is concluded:

$$P_{\text{MUSIC}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{a^{\text{H}}(\theta) \, \text{U}_{\text{N}} \, \text{U}_{\text{N}}^{\text{H}} \, a(\theta)}$$
(4)

Through this Eq(4) we estimate the DOA by searching the peak value. But several coherent signals may merge into one signal in the condition of coherent sources so that the independent sources reaching antenna will reduce, then the rank of output covariance matrix will be less than k. When decomposing the signal covariance matrix, the number of and the source matrix $S = [s_1; s_2; ...; s_k]$. The covariance larger eigen values is less than k and then the number of



International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering ISO 3297:2007 Certified

Vol. 5, Issue 11, November 2016

vectors of the signal subspace will be less than k too which Eq(8). means the number of the signal subspace's dimension will be less than the number of columns of direction matrix. Some direction vectors of coherent sources will not be orthogonal to noise subspace so DOA estimation will miss report in spatial frequency spectrum.

2.2 Improved MUSIC

The Improved MUSIC is to reconstruct the receiving signal's covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{I}\mathbf{R}^{*}\mathbf{I} \tag{5}$$

R^{*} is the conjugate of R.

$$\mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M}}$$
(6)

The estimation of receiving signal covariance matrix is R Where Ps and Pn are source and noise power respectively. $= (1/N)xx^{H}$. N is the number of snapshot. Generally R is Hermite matrix rather than Toeplitz matrix. We revise R and get the estimation of covariance Toeplitz matrix Rx = $R_x = R + IR^*I$. Obviously R_x is Toeplitz matrix so let R_x receiving signal, then reconstructing it : $Rx=R+IR^*I$. replace R, then decomposeR_x. Other steps of Improved MUSIC are the same as MUSIC. Improved MUSIC had better DOA estimation performance in the condition of coherent sources because it's reconstruction of receiving signal covariance matrix.

3. NOVEL DOA ESTIMATION

This algorithm takes full use of signal subspace and noise subspace.

Define matrix as $R_A = ASS^HA^H = APA^H = U_s\Lambda_sU_s^H$ where $P = SS^{H}$, U_s is signal subspace. Then, $a^{H}(\theta_{t})R^{+}_{A}a(\theta_{t}) = a^{H}(\theta_{t})(APA^{H}) + a(\theta_{t})$ $= ((\mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{a}(\theta_{i}))^{H} \mathbf{P}^{+} \mathbf{A}^{+} \mathbf{a}(\theta_{i}))$ (8) $= \delta_i^T P^+ \delta_i$ $= [P]_{i,i} = 1/P_i$

Where $[]^+$ is generalized inverse. $\delta_i = [0,...,1,0,...0]^T$ is M×1 vector which element i is 1 and the other are all 0. P_i is the power of source i.

Define a novel function of spatial frequency spectrum

$$P_{ES}(\theta) = \frac{a^{H}(\theta)R_{A}^{+}a(\theta)}{a^{H}(\theta)U_{N}U_{N}^{H}a(\theta)}$$
(9)

 $(i=1,2,..,K), a^{H}(\theta)U_{N}U_{N}^{H}a(\theta) = 0$ When $\theta = \theta_i$ $a^{H}(\theta)R_{A}^{+}a(\theta) = 1/P_{i}$, the peak value of P_{ES} 's spatial frequency spectrum is appeared at $0, \theta = \theta_i$ (i=1,2,...,K). So the function of P_{ES} 's spatial frequency spectrum can be used for DOA estimation. In the meantime we reconstruct

eigen values equal to σ^2 is more than M-K. The number of right but also estimate the source power according to

3 mod-MUSIC Method

MUSIC and Improved MUSIC both use noise subspace only. In the text we will study an estimation which uses signal subspace and noise subspace both.

The defined matrix R_A can be further normalised to improve performance in case of small snapshots, coherent source and small SNR.

$$R_{A}=R+IR^{*}I$$

$$R_{A}=R_{A}+\frac{R_{A}}{\max R_{A}}$$

$$(10)$$

$$P_{mod -MUSIC}(\theta) = \frac{U_S U_S^H}{a^H(\theta) U_S U_S^H(\theta)}$$
(11)

$$Pn = 10 log \square a^{H}(\theta) U_{n} \Lambda_{n} U_{n}^{H} a(\theta)]$$
(12)

$$Ps = 10\log[\frac{1}{a^{H}(\theta)U_{s}\Lambda_{s}U_{s}^{H}a(\theta)}]$$
(13)

So a mod-MUSIC method based on eigen space is proposed, the steps are:

(1)Calculate the covariance matrix according to the

(2)Eigen-decompose the covariance matrix: Rx = $U \Lambda U^H$ the sequence from big arrive small, into signal subspace and noise subspace, that is $Rx = R = U_s \Lambda_s U_s^H +$ $U_N \Lambda_N U_N^H$

(3) According to $\mathbf{R}_{A} = U_{s} \Lambda_{s} U_{s}^{H}$, calculating (3) $R_A^+ = U_s \Lambda_s^{-1} U_s^H$

(4) (4) Make θ changed and calculating the spectrum function according to (9), get the estimation of DOA by searching the peak value.

Estimate the power of the DOA estimated: (5)

4. SIMULATION AND ANALYSIS

Simulation conditions: uniform linear array with 16 antenna, element spacing is half-wavelength; the number of sources is 5; DOA is given as 10° , 20° , 30° , 40° , 50° separately, and the corresponding power are 1, 0.9, 0.8, 0.7, 0.6.

Simulation 1: The performance of mod-MUSIC under different SNR is investigated in this simulation. We compare mod-MUSIC with MUSIC and ES-DOA. The number of snapshot is 200. Fig.1-Fig.3 are the spatial spectrums in the condition of SNR (-5, 5, 10dB). It can be seen that when SNR=10 in Fig 3, there isn't obvious peak value at 10° and 30° using MUSIC and ES-DOA but obvious peak values are formed in each DOA direction using mod-MUSIC. In Fig.1 and Fig.2, The peak values of the receiving signal covariance matrix according to Eq (5) MUSIC and mod-MUSIC are in the DOA direction, but to make sure estimating DOA right in the condition of the peak values of mod-MUSIC are more actuate and side coherent sources. The function of spatial frequency beam are lower in the condition of the same SNR so its spectrum defined in the text can not only estimate DOA performance is better among MUSIC and ES-DOA.



International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering ISO 3297:2007 Certified

Vol. 5, Issue 11, November 2016



Fig.1. Performance of MUSIC, ES-DOA and mod-MUSIC when SNR = 5dB



Fig.2. Performance of MUSIC, ES-DOA and mod-MUSIC when SNR = -5dB



Fig.3. Performance of MUSIC, ES-DOA and mod-MUSIC when SNR = 10dB

Simulation 2: The performance of mod-MUSIC in the condition of small snapshots is investigated in this simulation. We comapre MUSIC, ES-DOA and mod-MUSIC in Fig. 4, SNR= 10dB, the number of snapshot is 20 in this simulation.



Fig.4. Performance of MUSIC, ES-DOA and mod-MUSIC when SNR = 10dB and number of snapshot is 20.

There isn't obvious peak value at 10° , 30° , 40° and 50° using MUSIC and ES-DOA but obvious peak values are formed in each DOA direction using mod-MUSIC. So mod-MUSIC shows better performance in condition of small snapshot.

Simulation 3: The performance of mod-MUSIC in coherent sources is investigated in this simulation. The sources of $DOA=10^{\circ}$ and $DOA=30^{\circ}$ are the same. The number of snapshot is 200, and SNR=15. The performance of MUSIC and ES-DOA is showed in Fig 5 in coherent sources.

It is concluded that there aren't obvious peak values at 30° and 10° for MUSIC algorithm, so MUSIC algorithm doesn't work well in coherent sources. The obvious peak values are formed in each DOA direction using mod-MUSIC. Fig 5 shows the performance of MUSIC, ES-DOA and mod-MUSIC. It can be seen that obvious peak values are formed in each DOA direction using mod-MUSIC. But there is no peak at 10° and 30° using MUSIC, ES-DOA method. So the performance of mod-MUSIC is better than that of MUSIC and ES-DOA in coherent sources.



Fig 5. Performance of MUSIC,ES-DOA and mod-MUSIC in coherent sources

Simulation 4: The ES-DOA can not only estimate DOA exactly but also estimate the source power. Defining PMSE $=(p_i - p_{ie})^2$ where p_i is the pefect power value of ith source and p_{ie} is the estimated power value of ith source. Both of them are the PMSE average value of many sources. We can conclude that the performance of source power estimation is getting better along with increasing the number of snapshot.

5. CONCLUSION

From the above analysis it can be proved that MUSIC, ES-DOA method gives better result for DOA estimation in ideal conditions. But mod-MUSIC method gives best performance when conditions are practical like when sources are coherent or SNR is low or small snapshots are used.





International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering ISO 3297:2007 Certified

Vol. 5, Issue 11, November 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank my teachers, my father, my friends who gave their constant support in completion of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Schmidt R O.Multiple emitter location and signal parameter estimations. IEEE Trans.AP, 1986,34 (3): 276-280.
- [2] Ziskind T,Wax M.Maximum likelihood localization of multiple sources by alternating projection. IEEE Trans.ASSP, 1988,236(10): 1553-1559.
- [3] Viberg M,Ottersten B. Detection and estimation in sensor arrays using weighted subspace fitting. IEEE Trans.SP, 1991,239(11): 2431-2449.
- [4] Zhang xianda, baozhen, communication signal processing.Beijing: national defense press 2000.
- [5] KundaD ."Modified MUSIC algorithm for estimating DOA of signal", Signal Processing, Vol48 Nol:85-90,1996.
- [6] HEZi-shu HUANG Zhen-xing XIANG Jing-cheng. The performance of DOA estimation for correlated signalsby modified MUSIC algorithm. JOURNAL OF CHINA INSTITUTE OF COMMUNICATIONS,2000 Vol.21 No.10 P.14-17
- [7] Shi xinzhi, wang gaofeng, wen biyang. Discussion of the Application for the Monopole, Cross-Loops Array Based on MMUSIC Algorithm. ACTA ELECTRONIC ASINICA2004, 32(1):147-149
- [8] Zhang Xiaofei, Lv Wen, ShiYing ,Zhao Ruina ,Xu Dazhuan . A Novel DOA estimation Algorithm Based on Eigen Space. IEEE 2007 International Symposium on Microwave, Antenna, Propagation, and EMC Technologies ForWireless Communications